

CURRICULUM STANDARDS ALIGNMENT

HOW DO THE RESEARCH QUEST INVESTIGATIONS FIT INTO MY CURRICULUM?

The three *Research Quest: Mysteries of Cleveland-Lloyd* investigations provide an applied context for students to practice and transfer their knowledge of the science and engineering practices, disciplinary core ideas, and crosscutting concepts that serve as the backbone of the new Next Generation Science Standards (NGSS) and many other state science curriculum standards. In Utah, these investigations are most closely aligned to the new 7th grade SEEd Standards, though components of each work in support of standards across multiple grades. In short, completing any of the three investigations provides the opportunity for students to apply their knowledge of the natural world and its systems, while also practicing crucial critical thinking skills. We have found the emphasis on the development and practice of critical thinking skills brings these investigations into alignment with standards in other disciplines, such as English and Language Arts, as well.

HOW CAN THIS ALIGNMENT DOCUMENT HELP ME?

The *Research Quest* investigations are intended to be a resource that can be easily adapted for a variety of needs. Use this document as a starting place to identify the learning outcomes most beneficial to your students. Please note, we have developed these pilot investigations to align with multiple standards developed for Utah's 7th grade and we have only included the standards and concepts explicitly supported through delivery of the investigations as outlined in the instructional guides. There are many other standards and skills in Utah's 7th grade and in other grades that could be addressed with minor adjustments to lesson plans or through extension activities. We encourage you to consider your specific learning objectives when planning to use *Research Quest* with your students.

Below is a list of the specific alignments (with page numbers) included in this document. For more information on any of these standards and/or frameworks, visit the links provided at the bottom of each section.

STANDARDS

| | (NCCC)

P21 Framework for 21st Century Learners	Next Generation Science Standards (NGSS)	2-5
Utah Science with Engineering Standards7-8 Utah English and Language Arts8-9	P21 Framework for 21st Century Learners	5-7
Utah English and Language Arts8-9	Webb's Depth-of-Knowledge Levels	7
5 5 5	Utah Science with Engineering Standards	7-8
Utah Secondary Library Media	Utah English and Language Arts	8-9
J J	Utah Secondary Library Media	9





NEXT GENERATION SCIENCE STANDARDS (NGSS)

DISCIPLINARY CORE IDEAS

MS-LS2-2

Construct an explanation that predicts patterns of interactions among organisms across multiple ecosystems.
 [Clarification Statement: Emphasis is on predicting consistent patterns of interactions in different ecosystems in terms of the relationships among and between organisms and abiotic components of ecosystems. Examples of types of interactions could include competitive, predatory, and mutually beneficial.]

MS-LS2-4

• Construct an argument supported by empirical evidence that changes to physical or biological components of an ecosystem affect populations. [Clarification Statement: Emphasis is on recognizing patterns in data and making warranted inferences about changes in populations, and on evaluating empirical evidence supporting arguments about changes to ecosystems.]

MS-LS4-1

• Analyze and interpret data for patterns in the fossil record that document the existence, diversity, extinction, and change of life forms throughout the history of life on Earth under the assumption that natural laws operate today as in the past. [Clarification Statement: Emphasis is on finding patterns of changes in the level of complexity of anatomical structures in organisms and the chronological order of fossil appearance in the rock layers.] [Assessment Boundary: Assessment does not include the names of individual species or geological eras in the fossil record.]

MS-LS4-2

Apply scientific ideas to construct an explanation for the anatomical similarities and differences among modern
organisms and between modern and fossil organisms to infer evolutionary relationships. [Clarification Statement:
Emphasis is on explanations of the evolutionary relationships among organisms in terms of similarity or differences of
the gross appearance of anatomical structures.]

For more information, visit http://www.nextgenscience.org/overview-dci

SCIENCE PRACTICES

Asking Questions and Defining Problems

Asking questions and defining problems in 6-8 builds on K-5 experiences and progresses to specifying relationships between variables, and clarifying arguments and models.

Ask auestions:

- That arise from careful observation of phenomena, models, or unexpected results, to clarify and/or seek additional information.
- That require sufficient and appropriate empirical evidence to answer.
- That can be investigated within the scope of the classroom, outdoor environment, and museums and other public facilities with available resources and, when appropriate hypothesis based on observations and scientific principles.

Developing and Using Models

Modeling in 6-8 builds on K-5 experiences and progresses to developing, using, and revising models to describe, test, and predict more abstract phenomena and design systems.

- Evaluate limitations of a model for a proposed object or tool.
- Develop or modify a model—based on evidence—to match what happens if a variable or component of a system is changed.
- Use and/or develop a model of simple systems with uncertain and less predictable factors.





- Develop and/or revise a model to show the relationships among variables, including those that are not observable but predict observable phenomena.
- Develop and/or use a model to predict and/or describe phenomena.
- Develop a model to describe unobservable mechanisms.
- Develop and/or use a model to generate data to test ideas about phenomena in natural or designed systems, including those representing inputs and outputs, and those at unobservable scales

Planning and Carrying Out Investigations

Planning and Carrying out investigations in 6-8 builds on K-5 experiences and progresses to include investigations that use multiple variables and provide evidence to support explanations or solutions.

- Plan an investigation individually and collaboratively, and in the design: identify independent and dependent variables
 and controls, what tools are needed to do the gathering, how measurements will be recorded, and how many data are
 needed to support a claim.
- Conduct an investigation and/or evaluate and/or revise the experimental design to produce data to serve as the basis for evidence that meets the goals of the investigation.
- Evaluate the accuracy of various methods for collecting data.
- Collect data to produce data to serve as the basis for evidence to answer scientific questions or test design solutions under a range of conditions.
- Collect data about the performance of a proposed object, tool, process or system under a range of conditions.

Analyzing and Interpreting Data

- Analyzing data in 6-8 builds on K-5 experiences and progresses to extending quantitative analysis to investigations, distinguishing between correlation and causation, and basic statistical techniques of data and error analysis.
- Construct, analyze, and/or interpret graphical displays of data and/or large data sets to identify linear and nonlinear relationships.
- Use graphical displays (e.g. maps, charts, graphs, and/or tables) of large data sets to identify temporal and spatial relationships.
- Distinguish between casual and correlational relationships in data.
- Analyze and interpret data to provide evidence for phenomena.
- Apply concepts of statistics and probability (including mean, median, mode, and variability) to analyze and characterize
 data, using digital tools when feasible.
- Consider limitations of data analysis (e.g. measurement error), and/or seek to improve precision and accuracy of data with better technological tools and methods (e.g., multiple trials).
- Analyze and interpret data to determine similarities and differences in findings.
- Analyze data to define an optimal operational range for a proposed object, tool, process or system that best meets criteria for success.

Construct an Explanation Using Models or Representations

- Constructing explanations and designing solutions in 6-8 builds on K-5 experiences and progresses to include constructing explanations and designing solutions supported by multiple sources of evidence consistent with scientific ideas, principles, and theories.
- Construct an explanation that includes qualitative or quantitative relationships between variables that predict(s) and/or describe(s) phenomena.
- Construct a scientific explanation based on valid and reliable evidence obtained from sources (including the students'





own experiments) and the assumption that theories and laws that describe the natural world operate today as they did in the past and will continue to do so in the future.

- Apply scientific ideas, principles, and/or evidence to construct, revise and/or use an explanation for real-world phenomena, examples, or events.
- Apply scientific reasoning to show why the data or evidence is adequate for the explanation or conclusion.
- Apply scientific ideas or principles to design, construct, and/or test a design of an object, tool, process or system.

Engage in Argument from Evidence

Engaging in argument from evidence in 6-8 builds on K-5 experiences and progresses to constructing a convincing argument that supports or refutes claims for either explanations or solutions about the natural and designed world(s).

- Compare and critique two arguments on the same topic and analyze whether they emphasize similar or different evidence and/or interpretations of facts.
- Respectfully provide and receive critiques about one's explanations, procedures, models, and questions by citing relevant evidence and posing and responding to questions that elicit pertinent elaboration and detail.
- Construct, use, and/or present an oral and written argument supported by empirical evidence and scientific reasoning to support or refute an explanation or a model for a phenomenon or a solution to a problem.
- Make an oral or written argument that supports or refutes the advertised performance of a device, process, or system based on empirical evidence concerning whether or not the technology meets relevant criteria and constraints.
- Evaluate competing design solutions based on jointly developed and agreed-upon design criteria.

Obtaining, Evaluating, and Communicating Information

Obtaining, evaluating, and communicating information in 6-8 builds on K-5 experiences and progresses to evaluating the merit and validity of ideas and methods.

• Communicate scientific and/or technical information (e.g. about a proposed object, tool, process, system) in writing and/or through oral presentations.

For more information, visit https://www.nextgenscience.org/sites/default/files/Appendix%20F%20%20Science%20and%20Engineering%20Practices%20in%20the%20NGSS%20-%20FINAL%20060513.pdf

CROSSCUTTING CONCEPTS

Patterns- Observed patterns in nature guide organization and classification and prompt questions about relationships and causes underlying them.

• Graphs, charts, and images can be used to identify patterns in data.

Structure and Function—The way an object is shaped or structured determines many of its properties and functions.

- Complex and microscopic structures and systems can be visualized, modeled, and used to describe how their function depends on the shapes, composition, and relationships among its parts; therefore, complex natural and designed structures/systems can be analyzed to determine how they function.
- Structures can be designed to serve particular functions by taking into account properties of different materials, and how materials can be shaped and used.

Systems and System Models—A system is an organized group of related objects or components; models can be used for understanding and predicting the behavior of systems.

- Systems may interact with other systems; they may have sub-systems and be a part of larger complex systems.
- Models can be used to represent systems and their interactions—such as inputs, processes and outputs—and energy,





matter, and information flows within systems.

Models are limited in that they only represent certain aspects of the system under study.

Cause and Effect: Mechanism and Prediction—Events have causes, sometimes simple, sometimes multifaceted. Deciphering casual relationships, and the mechanism by which they are mediated, is a major activity of science and engineering.

 Phenomena may have more than one cause, and some cause and effect relationships in systems can only be described using probability.

Stability and Change—For both designed and natural systems, conditions that affect stability and factors that control rates of change are critical elements to consider and understand.

- Small changes in one part of a system might cause large changes in another part.
- Stability might be disturbed either by sudden events or gradual changes that accumulate over time.

For more information, visit https://www.nextgenscience.org/sites/default/files/Appendix%20G%20-%20Crosscutting%20Concepts%20 FINAL%20edited%204.10.13.pdf

THE NATURE OF SCIENCE

Scientific Investigations Use a Variety of Methods

- Science investigations use a variety of methods and tools to make measurements and observations.
- Science investigations are guided by a set of values to ensure accuracy of measurements, observations, and objectivity
 of findings.

Scientific Knowledge Assumes an Order and Consistency in Natural Systems

• Science assumes that objects and events in natural systems occur in consistent patterns that are understandable through measurement and observation.

Scientific Knowledge is Based on Empirical Evidence

• Science knowledge is based upon logical and conceptual connections between evidence and explanations.

Science is a Way of Knowing

- Science is both a body of knowledge and the processes and practices used to add to that body of knowledge.
- Science is a way of knowing used by many people, not just scientists.

Scientific Knowledge is Open to Revision in Light of New Evidence

- Scientific explanations are subject to revision and improvement in light of new evidence.
- The certainty and durability of science findings varies.
- Science findings are frequently revised and/or reinterpreted based on new evidence.

For more information, visit https://www.nextgenscience.org/sites/default/files/Appendix%20H%20-%20The%20Nature%20of%20 Science%20in%20the%20Next%20Generation%20Science%20Standards%204.15.13.pdf

FRAMEWORK FOR 21st CENTURY LEARNERS

LEARNING AND INNOVATION SKILLS: CREATIVITY AND INNOVATION

Think Creatively

- Use a wide range of idea creation techniques (such as brainstorming)
- Create new and worthwhile ideas (both incremental and radical concepts)
- Elaborate, refine, analyze and evaluate their own ideas in order to improve and maximize creative efforts





Work Creatively with Others

- Develop, implement and communicate new ideas to others effectively
- Be open and responsive to new and diverse perspectives; incorporate group input and feedback into the work
- View failure as an opportunity to learn; understand that creativity and innovation is a long-term, cyclical process of small successes and frequent mistakes

LEARNING AND INNOVATION SKILLS: CRITICAL THINKING AND PROBLEM SOLVING

Reason Effectively

• Use various types of reasoning (inductive, deductive, etc.) as appropriate to the situation.

Use Systems Thinking

• Analyze how parts of a whole interact with each other to produce overall outcomes in complex systems.

Make Judgments and Decisions

- Effectively analyze and evaluate evidence, arguments, claims and beliefs.
- Analyze and evaluate major alternative points of view.
- Synthesize and make connections between information and arguments.
- Interpret information and draw conclusions based on the best analysis.
- Reflect critically on learning experiences and processes.

Solve Problems

- Solve different kinds of non-familiar problems in both conventional and innovative ways.
- Identify and ask significant questions that clarify various points of view and lead to better solutions.

LEARNING AND INNOVATION SKILLS: COMMUNICATION AND COLLABORATION

Communicate Clearly

- Articulate thoughts and ideas effectively using oral, written and nonverbal communication skills in a variety of forms and contexts.
- Listen effectively to decipher meaning, including knowledge, values, attitudes and intentions.
- Use communication for a range of purposes (e.q. to inform, instruct, motivate and persuade).

Collaborate with Others

- Exercise flexibility and willingness to be helpful in making necessary compromises to accomplish a common goal.
- Assume shared responsibility for collaborative work, and value the individual contributions made by each team member.

INFORMATION, MEDIA, AND TECHNOLOGY SKILLS: INFORMATION LITERACY

Access and Evaluate Information

Evaluate information critically and competently

Use and Manage Information

Use information accurately and creatively for the issue or problem at hand





LIFE AND CAREER SKILLS: FLEXIBILITY AND ADAPTABILITY

Be Flexible

Understand, negotiate and balance diverse views and beliefs to reach workable solutions, particularly in multi-cultural
environments

LIFE AND CAREER SKILLS: INITIATIVE AND SELF DIRECTION

Be Self-directed Learners

• Reflect critically on past experiences in order to inform future progress

LIFE AND CAREER SKILLS: SOCIAL AND CROSS-CULTURAL SKILLS

Interact Effectively with Others

• Know when it is appropriate to listen and when to speak.

Work Effectively in Diverse Teams

Respond open-mindedly to different ideas and values

For more information, visit http://www.p21.org/our-work/p21-framework

WEBB'S DEPTH OF KNOWLEDGE (DOK) LEVELS

DOK-1- Recall & Reproduction

• Recall of a fact, term, principle, concept, or perform a routine procedure.

DOK-2- Basic Application of Skills/Concepts

• Use of information, conceptual knowledge, select appropriate procedures for a task, two or more steps with decision points along the way, routine problems, organize/display data, interpret/use simple graphs.

DOK-3- Strategic Thinking

• Requires reasoning, developing a plan or sequence of steps to approach problem; requires some decision making and justification; abstract, complex, or non-routine; often more than one possible answer.

DOK-4- Extended Thinking

• An investigation or application to real world; requires time to research, problem solve, and process multiple conditions of the problem or task; non-routine manipulations, across disciplines/content areas/multiple sources.

For more information, visit http://www.aps.edu/re/documents/resources/Webbs_DOK_Guide.pdf

UTAH: SCIENCE WITH ENGINEERING EDUCATION STANDARDS (SEEd)

Standard 7.2.2 Construct an explanation based on evidence for how processes have changed Earth's surface at varying time and spatial scales. Examples of processes that occur at varying time scales could include slow plate motions or rapid landslides. Examples of processes that occur at varying spatial scales could include uplift of a mountain range or deposition of fine sediments.





Standard 7.2.6 Make an argument from evidence for how the geologic time scale shows the age and history of Earth. Emphasize scientific evidence from rock strata, the fossil record, and the principles of relative dating, such as superposition, uniformitarianism and recognizing unconformities.

Standard 7.5.2 Analyze and interpret data for patterns in the fossil record that document the existence, diversity, extinction, and change of life forms throughout the history of life on Earth, under the assumption that natural laws operate today as in the past.

For more information, visit https://www.schools.utah.gov/File/5ec76f98-0844-4afc-8077-5d9401241e35

UTAH: ENGLISH AND LANGUAGE ARTS STANDARDS

READING: INFORMATION TEXT

Standard 1

Cite textual evidence to support analysis of what the text says explicitly as well as inferences drawn from the text.

Standard 4

Determine the meaning of words and phrases as they are used in a text, including figurative, connotative, and technical meanings.

Standard 7

Integrate information presented in different media or formats (e.g., visually, quantitatively) as well as in words to develop a coherent understanding of a topic or issue.

WRITING

Standard 1

Write arguments to support claims with clear reasons and relevant evidence.

- a. Introduce claim(s) and organize the reasons and evidence clearly.
- b. Support claim(s) with clear reasons and relevant evidence, using credible sources and demonstrating an understanding of the topic or text.

SPEAKING AND LISTENING

Standard 1

Engage effectively in a range of collaborative discussions (one-on-one, in groups, and teacher-led) with diverse partners on grade 7 topics, texts, and issues, building on others' ideas and expressing their own clearly.

- a. Come to discussions prepared, having read or researched material under study; explicitly draw on that preparation by referring to evidence on the topic, text, or issue to probe and reflect on ideas under discussion.
- c. Pose questions that elicit elaboration and respond to others' questions and comments with relevant observations and ideas that bring the discussion back on topic as needed.
- d. Acknowledge new information expressed by others and, when warranted, modify their own views.

Standard 2

Analyze the main ideas and supporting details presented in diverse media and formats (e.g., visually, quantitatively, orally) and explain how the ideas clarify a topic, text, or issue under study.





Standard 3

Delineate a speaker's argument and specific claims, evaluating the soundness of the reasoning and the relevance and sufficiency of the evidence.

Standard 4

Present claims and findings, emphasizing salient points in a focused, coherent manner with pertinent descriptions, facts, details, and examples; use appropriate eye contact, adequate volume, and clear pronunciation.

LANGUAGE

Standard 6

Acquire and use accurately grade-appropriate general academic and domain-specific words and phrases; gather vocabulary knowledge when considering a word or phrase important to comprehension or expression.

For more information, visit https://www.uen.org/core/core.do?courseNum=4270

UTAH: SECONDARY LIBRARY MEDIA (6TH-8TH GRADE)

STRAND I LITERACY: READING ENGAGEMENT

Standard 1.2

Comprehend literary and informational texts

a. Read, listen to, view, and integrate information to build background knowledge

STRAND II LITERACY: INFORMATION AND RESEARCH

Standard 1.1

Define an information problem.

- a. Analyze the task to identify the information problem.
- b. Seek clarification from teachers and others.

Standard 4.1

Engage with information by reading, listening, and viewing sources in a variety of formats.

- b. Build connections between prior knowledge and new information through engaging with information, and collaborate with others to broaden and deepen understanding.
- e. Monitor gathered information for gaps or weaknesses and seek additional sources as necessary.

Standard 4.2

Extract relevant information that answers the information problem and meets task requirements.

e. Abstract, summarize, and paraphrase.

Standard 5.1

Organize information from multiple sources.

- c. Analyze and organize information to support conclusions
- d. Evaluate critically whether or not the selected information supports the proposed conclusions.

For more information, visit https://www.uen.org/core/core.do?courseNum=6512

